UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,910	09/13/2005	Tony Amato	745691-37	6657
22204 NIXON PEABO	7590 04/20/201 ODY, LLP	EXAMINER		
401 9TH STRE		ALLEN, CAMERON J		
SUITE 900 WASHINGTOI	N, DC 20004-2128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/522,910	AMATO, TONY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CAMERON J. ALLEN	1797	

	CAMERON J. ALLEN	1797						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the period of extensions of the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE c). c).	gdate of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII 36(a) and the appropriate	on. LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee					
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	nally set in the final Offic	e action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a convergence NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).		TOL 004)					
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>	·	,	,					
non-allowable claim(s).  7. ⊠ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [	·	•	-					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: 57-64.  Claim(s) objected to: 43,45,50-54,56 and 67.  Claim(s) rejected: 36-42,44,46-49,55,66 and 68.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			ipanauon o					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Walter D. Griffin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797								
•								

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims have been amended to provide clarification and definition over the prior art but now raise new issues that have not been previously examined. The applicant has now submitted amended claim 36 so that it further limits the claim to a more specific embodiment. This amendment would require further search of claim 36 and its dependent claims since the specific embodiment was not claimed nor searched during examination. Specifically the embodiment is where "ALL axially adjacent operating devices are radially non-parallel and radially non-opposing'. Therefore the amendment will not be entered since it does not place the application in condition for allowance.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claims 36-42, 44, 46-49, 55, 66, and 68, the applicant argues that even though the claim contains open language that it could not include the extra features of the prior art. The reasoning is that the included features would not be as effective as the device disclosed in the specification of the instant application. The applicant argues that the Examiner's interpretation would yield several embodiments. It is noted that by applicants admission on page 10 paragraph 2, that the claim language is open ended and therefore can include additional features not listed in claim 36. MPEP 211.03 states "The transitional term "comprising", which is synonymous with "including," "containing," or "characterized by," is inclusive or openended and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements or method steps. See, e.g., > Mars Inc. v. H.J. Heinz Co., 377 F.3d 1369, 1376, 71 USPQ2d 1837, 1843 (Fed. Cir. 2004) ". Therefore giving the claims broadest reasonable interpretation, the claims were examined as written. Therefore the arguments are not found persuasive. Independent claim 36 has been amended for further clarification of the specific body to be examined. The new specific embodiment has not been examined.